

M 283  
1/24/08  
LN#5

Recall from last time:

- A  $\text{f.d.}$  coun  $\text{FA} / \text{TQFT}$ , &  $\alpha$  distinguished.  
 $\alpha = \text{FA}(\odot) : C \rightarrow A$ .
- $\exists a_i \in \text{e-basis for } C, \& a_i^* \text{ dual w.r.t. } \langle , \rangle, \alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i a_i^*$ .

Thm:  $A$  is semisimple (as a module itself by def. up to  $\cong$ )  $\iff$  disjoint.  
(Reference: Anderson/Bailey, ATM saying (for semisimplicity)  
Rings & (cats of mod) ).

Recall: Let  $R$  be a ring.

Defn: Suppose  $T$  is a left  $R$ -module, then  $T$  is simple if  
 $T$  has no nontrivial submodules.  $\Leftrightarrow T \cong R/\mathfrak{m}$ , maximal ideal of  $R$ .  
 $(\mathfrak{m} \neq \{0\})$   
- nontrivial - non-zero.

- A module  $M$  is semisimple if  $M \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in I} T_\lambda$ ,  $T_\lambda$ 's simple

Facts: •  $\text{TRAF}$  for left  $R$ -modules  $M$ :

- 1)  $M$  semi simple,
- 2) every submodule of  $M$  is a direct summand,
- 3) every short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$  splits.  
( $K, N$  minimal ss.)

Shur's lemma: if  $A$  is a f.d. alg over an alg closed field  $k$ ,

and  $M, N$  are both irreducible left  $A$ -modules, then

- 1)  $\text{Hom}_A(M, N) = 0$  if  $M \not\cong N$ .
- 2)  $\text{Hom}_A(M, M) \cong k$  (1-dim).

Cor: if  $A$  is commutative, &  $M$   $\text{Mod}_A$ ,  $M$  is 1-dim if  $\dim_k M = 1$ .

Pf.: Mult by  $A : M \rightarrow M$  is an  $A$ -hom by commutativity, so

$$A \cdot x = kx. \Rightarrow$$

Back to FAs: if  $A$  a semi-simple FA; then  $A \cong \bigoplus_{\beta \in I} C_\beta$  as  $A$ -mdule  
 (dim'l by cor.).

Facts about SS FAs: • Suppose  $A$  as SS. Comm RA.

Thm (Lemma):  $A$  has a basis  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  s.t.  $\alpha_i \cdot \alpha_j = \begin{cases} 0, & i \neq j \\ \alpha_i, & i = j. \end{cases}$   
 (idempotent ( $\alpha_i \alpha_i = \alpha_i$ )).

Pf.: write  $A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n C_{\alpha_i} \alpha_i$  as  $A$ -mdule. Note we can choose  $\alpha_i$ 's close enough s.t.  $\alpha_i \alpha_j = 0$ : pick  $\alpha_i$  a generator of  $\alpha_i$ -annihilate submodule  $C$ ; consider map  $A \rightarrow C$ ,  $a \mapsto a \cdot \alpha_i$ .

- Kernel is a direct summand and is a SS FA (why?).  
 — continue until exhausted (finite dim'n).

• Let  $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n\}$  be dual to  $\alpha_i$  —  $\langle \alpha_i, \beta_j \rangle = \delta_{ij} = \theta(\alpha_i, \beta_j)$ .

Write  $\beta_i = \sum_{k=1}^n z_{ik} \alpha_k$ , so  $\beta_i \alpha_j = \sum_{k=1}^n z_{ik} \alpha_k \alpha_j$ . Then,  $\sum_{k=1}^n z_{ik} \theta(\alpha_k, \beta_j) = \delta_{ij}$ .

so  $(\alpha_j)^2 \neq 0$ ; — claim  $(\alpha_j)^2 = c_j \alpha_j$ ,  $c_j \in \mathbb{C} \setminus 0$ .

— define  $\alpha'_j = \frac{1}{c_j} \alpha_j$  — forces idempotence.

— rewrite  $\beta_i$  as  $\sum_{k=1}^n z_{ik} \alpha'_k$  — w.p.l.g. let  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$  be dual basis.

so  $\beta_i = \sum_j z_{ij} \alpha'_j$ ;  $\beta_i \alpha'_j = \sum_k z_{ik} z_{kj} \alpha'_k = k_{ij} \alpha'_j$ .

$\delta_{ij} = \theta(\beta_i, \alpha'_j) = k_{ij} \theta(\alpha'_j)$ . Then, if  $i = j$ ,  $\theta(\alpha'_j) = \frac{1}{c_j} \neq 0$ .

$i \neq j$ :  $0 = k_{ij} \theta(\alpha'_j)$ ;  $\theta(\alpha'_j) \neq 0$ , so  $k_{ij} = 0$ .

Then  $\beta_j = k_{ij} \alpha_i$ ,  $k_i$  det by  $\Theta(\alpha_i)$ .

Show (Con: <sup>indirect</sup> <sup>ss</sup>) FA's over  $\mathbb{C}$  can be classified by <sup>numbers</sup> <sup>complex numbers</sup>  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  taking the values  $\beta_i = \Theta(\alpha_i)$ .

- so opposite, 2 FA's are isomorphic if they have the same <sup>with</sup> <sup>'such'</sup> <sup>over</sup>  $\alpha_i$ 's.

(Q. about characterizing  $\{[A] \in \mathfrak{S}_p\}$  this way).

Lemma: Let  $A$  be a f.d. comn. alg over a alg closed field  $\mathbb{k}$ .

Suppose  $M \subset A$  ~~is antisubmodle~~ is meadible (Measurable at  $A$ ).

If  $M$  is not a field, then it has nilpotent elts.

PP: Exercise.

Back to Thm: Suppose  $A$  is an f.d. comn FA, <sup>slv simple over</sup>  $\mathbb{C}$ .

wTS  $\alpha$  is a unit while  $A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{C}\alpha_i$ . as betwe. of  $\alpha_i$  as above.

recall:  $\det(\psi = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \beta_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n k_i \alpha_i$ ,  $\Theta(\alpha_i) = \frac{1}{k_i}$ .

so  $\psi = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i}{\Theta(\alpha_i)}$ ; com  $\psi^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i \alpha_i$ . (need  $1 = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$ ).  
- check

- such  $\psi \cdot \psi^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i - \text{clearly } = 1$ .

Opposite direction: Now assume  $\psi$  is a unit. wTS  $A$  is ss.

We'll show there are no nonzero nilpotent elts of  $A$ .

(ex: this characterizes ss alg by lemma contrapositive).

Let  $\eta \subset A$  be the ideal of nilpotents in  $A$ . we show

$\psi \cdot \eta = 0$ , (so  $\eta = 0$ ):

Filtre A as follows: Let  $S_1 = \text{ann}(\eta) \subset A$  ( $= \{a \in A : a\eta = 0\}$ ).  
so we show  $\eta \in S_1$ . Let  $\pi_i: A \rightarrow A/S_{i-1}$ , & let  $S_i = \pi_i^{-1}($   
Let  $S_i = \pi_i^{-1}(\text{Ann}(\text{Nil}(M_{S_{i-1}})))$   
 $= \{a \in A : \text{for } x \in \text{rat } S_{i-1}, ax = 0\} \subset S_{i-1}$ .

gives a filtration  $S_1 \subset S_2 \subset \dots \subset S_k = A$  out. ; pr<sup>th</sup> basis for  
 $A = \text{pr}_k \text{ for } S_1, \text{ extn to } S_2, \text{ & so forth. } \Rightarrow \text{basis of } A.$

Denote basis by  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ . w/ brackets  $\{e_i^k\}$ . Suppose  $e_i \in S_j \setminus S_{j-1}$ ,  
and  $a \in \eta$ . Then  $a \cdot e_i$  is also nilpotent, (by com.) so  
 $a e_i \in S_{j-1}$  (in fact,  $S_1$ ) so can be expressed as an LC.  
of  $e_k$ 's,  $k \leq i$ . Hence,  $(ae_i, e_i^k) = 0$ .